

Photocatalysis of aqueous perfluorooctanoic acid by TiO_2 and Ga_2O_3 assisted with peroxymonosulfate under UV and visible light

By

Bentuo Xu

A Dissertation Submitted in Fulfilment for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Civil and Environment Engineering
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
University of Technology Sydney

New South Wales, Australia

April 2020

Certificate of original authorship

I, Bentuo Xu, declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of PhD, in the FEIT at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature of Student

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Bentuo Xu

Date: 13th April 2020

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my principal supervisor, Prof. John L. Zhou for his invaluable guidance and supports during my PhD study. He always inspired me when I had difficulties and gave me many significant suggestions to help me solving the problems. In addition, he taught me how to write technical papers in a logical and systematic manner, which significantly improved my English level and published papers in the journals. Meanwhile, I am very grateful to my co-supervisor Ali Altaee for his contribution and comments to my papers. I also would like to thank Dr. Abu Hasan Johir, the manager of environmental engineering laboratories, for the technical supports and guidance. Whenever I met difficulties in the lab, he always gave me the help timely.

I gratefully acknowledge the dual doctoral degree program of University of Technology Sydney (UTS) – Shanghai University that provided the opportunity for me to have two doctoral degrees from these two prestigious universities. Especially, many thanks to China Scholarship Council (CSC) and UTS for providing the financial support during my stay in Australia.

I would like to express my appreciation to Boshir for his hearty welcome when I was a freshman and technical assistance with revising my papers. I am also thankful to my lovely colleges Yuhan Huang, Romina, Kireesan, Jiawei Ren, Mingwei Yao, Dongle Chen, Qiang Hao, and Xiaoqing Liu for their supports and contributions. Thanks to my friends Jinsong Cao, Haodong Chan, Xiaoling Zhang, Yingdong Feng, Shichao Chen, Pu Lin, Yili Jiang and Tengyi Jiang for their care and love.

Finally, I am indebted to all my family and friends their unconditional support and encouragement.

Dedication

This thesis is deeply dedicated to the following people:

To my lovely parents

Xiuru Xu

&

Xiaowei Chen

For their unconditional support, enthusiastic encouragement
and endless love

Table of Contents

Certificate of original authorship	II
Acknowledgements	III
List of Figures	4
List of Tables	9
Abbreviations	11
Nomenclatures	13
List of publications	14
PhD Dissertation Abstract	17
Chapter 1. Introduction	21
1.1. Background	22
1.2. Research motivation and scopes	27
1.3. Research significance	28
1.4. Thesis outline	29
Chapter 2. Literature review	32
2.1. Introduction	33
2.2. Photocatalytic mechanism	33
2.3. Intermediates during photocatalysis process	36
2.4. Photodegradation kinetics	37
2.5. Efficacy of heterogeneous photocatalysis for PFAS decomposition	39
2.5.1. <i>Performance of TiO₂ and modified TiO₂ photocatalysts</i>	39
2.5.2. <i>Performance of Ga₂O₃ and modified Ga₂O₃ photocatalysts</i>	42
2.5.3. <i>Performance of In₂O₃ and modified In₂O₃ photocatalysts</i>	43
2.5.4. <i>Performance of other catalysts</i>	47
2.5.5. <i>Comparative removal performance by different catalysts</i>	48
2.6. Factors affecting PFAS photocatalysis	50
2.6.1. <i>Light source</i>	50
2.6.2. <i>Solution temperature</i>	51
2.6.3. <i>Solution pH</i>	54
2.6.4. <i>Dissolved oxygen</i>	56
2.6.5. <i>Dissolved organic matter</i>	57
2.7. Practical applications of PFOA degradation	60

2.8. Conclusions	62
Chapter 3. Materials and methods	63
3.1. Introduction	64
3.2. Chemicals	64
3.3. Characterization techniques.....	64
3.3.1. <i>Powder X-ray diffraction</i>	64
3.3.2. <i>UV-visible absorbance</i>	65
3.3.3. <i>Scanning electron microscope</i>	65
3.3.4. <i>Zeta potential values</i>	65
3.4. Quantitative determination by LC-MS/MS	65
3.4.1. <i>Sample preparation in water and wastewater</i>	65
3.4.2. <i>Instrumental analysis and quantification</i>	66
3.4.3. <i>Elimination of the background contamination</i>	71
3.5. Experimental reactor and light sources	72
3.6. Auxiliary laboratory equipment.....	74
Chapter 4. Photocatalysis of PFOA by five commercial catalysts under UV irradiation.....	76
4.1. Introduction	77
4.2. Methodology.....	79
4.3. Results and discussion.....	80
4.3.1. <i>Characterization of different catalysts</i>	80
4.3.2. <i>Photocatalytic performance</i>	82
4.3.3. <i>Initial pH effect on the photocatalysis</i>	85
4.3.4. <i>Photon energy absorbed by catalysts</i>	86
4.3.5. <i>Photocatalytic mechanism</i>	88
4.4. Conclusions	92
Chapter 5. Improved photocatalysis of perfluorooctanoic acid in water and wastewater by Ga ₂ O ₃ /UV system assisted by peroxymonosulfate	94
5.1. Introduction	95
5.2. Methodology.....	96
5.3. Results and Discussion	97
5.3.1. <i>Photocatalytic ability of Ga₂O₃/PMS</i>	97
5.3.2. <i>Effect of catalyst loading</i>	99
5.3.3. <i>Effect of solution pH</i>	101
5.3.4. <i>Effect of initial PFOA concentration</i>	103

5.3.5. <i>Effect of light sources</i>	104
5.3.6. <i>Calculation of quantum yield</i>	105
5.3.7. <i>Photocatalysis mechanism</i>	107
5.4. Conclusions.....	113
Chapter 6. Visible and UV photocatalysis of aqueous perfluorooctanoic acid by TiO ₂ and peroxymonosulfate: Process kinetics and mechanistic insights.....	115
6.1. Introduction.....	116
6.2. Methodology	117
6.3. Results and discussion	118
6.3.1. <i>Catalyst characterization</i>	118
6.3.2. <i>Degradation performance of PFOA under visible light</i>	120
6.3.3. <i>Effects of catalyst dosage</i>	124
6.3.4. <i>Effects of solution pH</i>	125
6.3.5. <i>Effects of light sources</i>	127
6.3.6. <i>Advantages of photocatalysis by TiO₂/PMS</i>	129
6.3.7. <i>Proposed degradation mechanism</i>	132
6.4. Conclusions.....	137
Chapter 7. Comparison of Ga ₂ O ₃ and TiO ₂ with PMS and potential application	138
7.1. Introduction.....	139
7.2. Methodology	139
7.3. Results and discussion	140
7.3.1. <i>Real wastewater treatment by Ga₂O₃/PMS under Visible or UV light</i>	140
7.3.2. <i>Real wastewater treatment by TiO₂/PMS under Visible or UV light</i>	142
7.3.3. <i>Comparison of Ga₂O₃/PMS and TiO₂/PMS for PFOA removal</i>	145
7.4. Conclusions.....	146
Chapter 8. Conclusions and recommendations	147
8.1. Summary	148
8.2. Recommendation	150
References.....	154

List of Figures

Fig. 1-1. Molecular structure and chemical properties of (a) PFOS, (b) PFOA, (c) PFHpA, (d) TFA, (e) PFHxA, (f) PFPeA, and (g) PFBA.

Fig. 1-2. UV-vis absorption spectra of different catalysts.

Fig. 1-3. Main structure of this thesis.

Fig. 2-1. Mechanism of heterogeneous photocatalysis process from Xu et al. (2017).

Fig. 2-2. The relationship between efficacy and e^-h^+ pairs from Xu et al. (2017).

Fig. 2-3. (A) Time-dependent change of intermediates during PFOA decomposition by using In_2O_3 NPNSs. PFOA was 100% degraded within 30 min during this process. (B) PFHpA concentrations (mg L^{-1}) during the photodecomposition process by different catalysts.

Fig. 2-4. (A) Photocatalytic decomposition of PFOA by TiO_2 , Ga_2O_3 , In_2O_3 and their modified forms. (B) Boxplot of pseudo first-order rate constants (k_t) of PFOA removal by three different catalysts.

Fig. 2-5. (A) Influence of the initial pH on PFOA degradation by various photodecomposition methods. (B) Influence of DO on PFOA degradation by various photodecomposition methods. Specific experimental parameters were shown in **Table 2-5**.

Fig. 2-6. (A) Kinetics of PFOA photocatalysis in wastewater (WW) and pure water (PW) by catalysts of TiO_2 , In_2O_3 , and needle-like Ga_2O_3 under UV irradiation. The data were from references (Li et al. 2012a; Shao et al. 2013a; Shao et al. 2013b). (B) PFOA degradation in WW by In_2O_3 and Needle- Ga_2O_3 with some modifications for efficacy improvement.

Fig. 3-1. Chromatography of seven PFAS detected by UHPLC-MS/MS.

Fig. 3-2. The peak intensity of PFAS under different pH conditions by LC-MS/MS analysis.

Fig. 3-3. The peak of POFA reduced after rinse several times. (a) – (d) was rinsed from the beginning to 3 h, 6 h and 10 h, respectively.

Fig. 3-4. Wavelength spectrum of UV light (254 nm) from a 32 W low-pressure UV lamp (Cnlight Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China).

Fig. 3-5. Wavelength spectrum of UV light (185 nm) from a 32 W low-pressure UV lamp (Cnlight Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China).

Fig. 3-6. Wavelength spectrum of visible light from 300 W xenon lamp (Nbet Co. Ltd., Beijing, China).

Fig. 3-7. The schematic diagram of the overall experimental process. (A) The photocatalytic process in the reactor. (B) Filtering the liquid samples before quantification. (C) Quantification by UHPLC-MS/MS.

Fig. 4-1. Price of each commercial catalysts (i.e. TiO_2 , In_2O_3 , Ga_2O_3 , CeO_2 and CdS) according to the quote from Sigma-Aldrich, Australia.

Fig. 4-2. The approximately process of photocatalysis degradation for PFOA.

Fig. 4-3. XRD patterns of catalysts TiO_2 , Ga_2O_3 , CeO_2 , In_2O_3 and CdS .

Fig. 4-4. SEM images of catalysts TiO_2 , Ga_2O_3 , In_2O_3 , CeO_2 and CdS .

Fig. 4-5. Degradation rate of PFOA by catalysts (i.e. TiO_2 , Ga_2O_3 , CeO_2 , In_2O_3 and CdS) under UV 254-nm irradiation within 180 min.

Fig. 4-6. Zeta potential of Ga_2O_3 , TiO_2 , In_2O_3 , CeO_2 and CdS .

Fig. 4-7. The wavelength spectrum of each material overlayed with the lamp spectral output.

Fig. 4-8. Degradation rate of PFOA by catalysts (i.e. TiO_2 , Ga_2O_3 , CeO_2 , In_2O_3 and CdS) with and without EDTA-Na_2 addition.

Fig. 4-9. Degradation mechanism of PFOA in route (a) by photogenerated electrons and in route (b) by photogenerated holes.

Fig. 5-1. The main chemical process during photocatalysis of Ga₂O₃/PMS (Xu et al., 2019).

Fig. 5-2. (A) Comparison of photocatalytic performance for PFOA removal among Ga₂O₃, PMS and Ga₂O₃/PMS under UV 254-nm. (B) Degradation rate of PFOA by different amounts of PMS (0.16, 0.41, 0.90, 4.35 g L⁻¹) and Ga₂O₃ (0.10, 0.25, 0.55, 2.65 g L⁻¹). (C) Photocatalytic performance by different PMS/Ga₂O₃ ratios (1:2, 1:1, 2:1, 3:1) under UV 254-nm and (D) the fitting of degradation curves and rate constant (*k*) derived.

Fig. 5-3. (A) Photocatalytic performance under different initial pH conditions (i.e. pH 3, pH 5, pH 7 and pH 10) activated in PMS/Ga₂O₃/UV system within 120 min ([PFOA] = 50 mg L⁻¹, [PMS] = 0.41 g L⁻¹, [Ga₂O₃] = 0.25 g L⁻¹) and (B) the fitting of degradation curves within 90 min and the rate constant (*k*) derived. (C) Photocatalytic performance with initial PFOA concentration of 50 mg L⁻¹, 50 µg L⁻¹ and 50 ng L⁻¹ in PMS/Ga₂O₃/UV system within 120 min ([PMS] = 0.41 g L⁻¹, [Ga₂O₃] = 0.25 g L⁻¹) and (D) the fitting of degradation curves within 90 min and the rate constant (*k*) derived.

Fig. 5-4. Zeta potential of Ga₂O₃.

Fig. 5-5. Photocatalytic performance by PMS/Ga₂O₃ under UV light (185 nm, 254 nm) and visible light (400-800 nm) ([PMS] = 1.23 g L⁻¹, [Ga₂O₃] = 0.25 g L⁻¹).

Fig. 5-6. Evolution of PFOA and shorter-chain intermediates during PFOA degradation in PMS/Ga₂O₃/UV system within 120 min.

Fig. 5-7. Effects of different scavengers (i.e. Pure Ga₂O₃, EDTA-Na₂, BQ, *t*-BuOH, no scavengers) on the PFOA degradation in PMS/Ga₂O₃/UV system within 120 min. Insert showing the fitting of degradation curves within 90 min and degradation rate constant (*k*) derived (D).

Fig. 5-8. The mechanism of PFOA degradation during the photocatalytic process in PMS/Ga₂O₃/UV system. The active species are marked in red, and the ion or compounds removed during degradation are marked in blue.

Fig. 6-1. XRD pattern of TiO₂ catalyst.

Fig. 6-2. UV-vis diffuse reflection absorption of PFOA, PMS, TiO₂ and TiO₂/PMS.

Fig. 6-3. Photodegradation of PFOA by TiO₂ and PMS under darkness and 30 W visible light.

Fig. 6-4. (A). Degradation rate of PFOA in the system of PMS, TiO₂ and TiO₂/PMS, respectively under 300 W visible light irradiation within 8 h ([PFOA] = 50 mg L⁻¹, [PMS] = 0.75 g L⁻¹, and [TiO₂] = 0.25 g L⁻¹). Enlarged view of degradation curves within 6 h and the histogram of each degradation rate constant (*k*) was also provided. (B) Degradation rate of PFOA by different amount of TiO₂/PMS (300 W visible light, [PFOA] = 50 mg L⁻¹).

Fig. 6-5. Effect of different initial solution pH conditions (i.e. pH₀ 3, 5, 7 and 9) on PFOA degradation in 300 W Vis/TiO₂/PMS system. Insert showing the fitting of degradation curves within 6 h and degradation rate constant (*k*) derived.

Fig. 6-6. Zeta potentials of TiO₂.

Fig. 6-7. Schematic diagram of Coulombic attraction between TiO₂ and PFOA influenced by the solution pH.

Fig. 6-8. Effect of different light source on PFOA degradation by TiO₂/PMS. Visible light (300 W, 400-770 nm) was produced by a Xenon lamp, and 254 nm and 185 nm UV light were from two types of low-pressure UV lamps, respectively ([PFOA] = 50 mg L⁻¹, [PMS] = 0.75 g L⁻¹, and [Ga₂O₃] = 0.25 g L⁻¹).

Fig. 6-9. (A) Time dependence of PFOA and its shorter-chain PFAS intermediates. (B) Effects of different scavengers (i.e. Pure TiO₂, EDTA-Na₂, BQ, *t*-BuOH, and no

scavengers) on the PFOA degradation in Vis/TiO₂/PMS system within 8 h (300 W visible light, [PFOA] = 50 mg L⁻¹, [PMS] = 0.15 g L⁻¹, [TiO₂] = 0.05 g L⁻¹). Insert showing the fitting of degradation curves within 6 h and degradation rate constant (*k*) derived.

Fig. 6-10. The main photocatalysis by TiO₂/PMS for PFOA degradation (Xu et al., 2020).

Fig. 7-1. (A) Degradation of PFOA in wastewater samples including influent and effluent from a wastewater treatment plant under UV 254 nm and 185 nm. (B) The rate of TOC removal by Ga₂O₃/PMS under 254 nm and 185 nm UV light. ([PFOA] = 50 mg L⁻¹, [PMS] = 0.45 g L⁻¹, [Ga₂O₃] = 0.25 g L⁻¹).

Fig. 7-2. (A) Degradation of wastewater samples including influent and effluent from a wastewater treatment plant and (B) the rate of TOC removal by TiO₂/PMS under 300 W visible light, 254 nm and 185 nm UV light.

Fig. 7-3. (A) Comparison between Ga₂O₃/PMS and TiO₂/PMS for PFOA removal in pure water under 185 nm, 254 nm UV light and (B) 300 W visible light.

Fig. 8-1. Different types of photocatalytic reactors for practical applications: (A) suspension photocatalytic reactor; (B) photocatalytic pool with g-C₃N₄ on structured Al₂O₃ ceramic foam; (C) catalyst coated optical fibre reactor. The figures are referred from the ref. (Dong et al., 2014; Hatat-Fraile et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2017b).

List of Tables

Table 1-1

Concentrations of PFOA and PFOS in different matrices showing min–max (mean).

Table 2-1

Photocatalytic removal of PFOA by TiO₂ and its modification with other compounds or elements.

Table 2-2

Photocatalytic removal of PFOA by In₂O₃ and Ga₂O₃ catalysts.

Table 2-3

Photocatalytic removal of PFAS by different catalysts.

Table 2-4

Photolytic decomposition, kinetic parameters and quantum yield (Φ) for PFOA degradation at different temperatures. The light source was provided by the mercury lamp (500 W) and the initial concentration of PFOA solution was 30 mg L⁻¹. The specific data are from reference (Zhang et al., 2016).

Table 2-5.

Specific parameters of the pH and DO influence experiments.

Table 3-1

List of chemicals and materials.

Table 2-2

General UHPLC and MS/MS source conditions.

Table 3-3

LC time program of PFOA method.

Table 3-4

LC time program of simultaneously seven PFAS method.

Table 3-5

The target compounds of PFAS analysed, and their MS/MS parameters.

Table 3-6

Analytical data for PFAS standards using UHPLC-MS/MS method.

Table 4-1

Comparison of Photocatalytic conditions for PFOA removal by TiO_2 /PMS in this study and other catalysts in previous literatures.

Table 4-2

The band gap energy of commercial In_2O_3 , Ga_2O_3 , TiO_2 , CeO_2 and CdS .

Table 4-3

The key experimental parameters and their values in this study.

Table 5-1

The key experimental parameters and their values in this study.

Table 6-1

Comparison of Photocatalytic conditions for PFOA removal by TiO_2 /PMS in this study and other catalysts in previous literatures.

Table 7-1

Characteristics of the effluent taken from a municipal wastewater plant in Sydney, Australia.

Abbreviations

Bismuth oxychloride = BiOCl

Benzoquinone = BQ

Bismuth sulfide = Bi₂S₃

Carbon-fluorine = C-F

Carbon nitride = C₃N₄

Cerium dioxide = CeO₂

Cadmium sulfide = CdS

Disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate = EDTA-Na₂

Dissolved organic matter = DOM

Dissolved oxygen = DO

Dry weight = dw

Gallium oxide = Ga₂O₃

Perchloric acid = HClO₄

Porous nanoplates = PNPs

Indium trioxide = In₂O₃

Membrane bioreactor = MBR

Multiple reaction monitoring = MRM

Nanoporous nanospheres = NPNs

Nanoplates = NPs

Hydroxyl radicals = •OH

Perfluoroalkyl substances = PFAS

Perfluorobutanoic acid = PFBA

Perfluorocarboxylic acids = PFCA

Perfluoroheptanoic acid = PFHpA

Perfluorohexanoic acid = PFHxA

Perfluorooctanoic acid = PFOA

Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid = PFOS

Perfluoropentanoic acid = PFPeA

Peroxymonosulfate = PMS

Relative standard deviation = RSD

Scanning electron microscope = SEM

tert-butyl alcohol = TBA

tert-butanol = *t*-BuOH

Trifluoroacetic acid = TFA

Titanium dioxide = TiO₂

Titanate nanotubes (TNTs)

Total organic carbon = TOC

Multiwall carbon nanotube = MWCNT

Wet weight = ww

Wastewater treatment plant = WWTP

X-ray diffraction = XRD

Zinc oxide = ZnO

Nomenclatures

E_g = optical band edge

Φ = quantum yield

pH_{PZC} = zero charge

C_0 = initial concentration

C_t = concentration at min t

k = rate constant

k_f = the fluence-based first-order rate constant

$\tau_{1/2}$ = half-life

O_3 = ozonation

$h\nu$ = photon

C-F = carbon-fluorine

$\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$ = sulfate radicals

h^+ = hole

e^- = electron

F^- = fluorine ion

List of publications

1st author journal articles:

- (1). ***Bentuo Xu**, Mohammad B. Ahmed, John L. Zhou, Ali Altaee. Visible and UV photocatalysis of aqueous perfluorooctanoic acid by TiO₂ and peroxymonosulfate: Process kinetics and mechanistic insights. *Chemosphere* 243 (2020) 125366.
- (2). ***Bentuo Xu**, John L. Zhou, Ali Altaee, Mohammad B. Ahmed, Md Abu Hasan Johir, Jiawei Ren, Xiaowei Li. Improved photocatalysis of perfluorooctanoic acid in water by Ga₂O₃/UV system assisted by peroxymonosulfate. *Chemosphere* 239 (2020) 124722.
- (3). * **Bentuo Xu**, Mohammad Boshir Ahmed, John L. Zhou, Ali Altaee, Minghong Wu, Gang Xu. Graphitic carbon nitride based nanocomposites for the photocatalysis of organic contaminants under visible irradiation: progress, limitations and future directions. *Science of the Total Environment* 633 (2018) 546-599.
- (4). **Bentuo Xu**, Minghong Wu, Mingnan Wang, Chenyuan Pan, Wenhui Qiu, Liang Tang, Gang Xu. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and hydroxylated PBDEs in human serum from Shanghai, China: a study on their presence and correlations. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 25 (2018) 3518-3526.
- (5). ***Bentuo Xu**, Mohammad Boshir Ahmed, John L. Zhou, Ali Altaee, Minghong Wu, Gang Xu. Photocatalytic removal of perfluoroalkyl substances from water and wastewater: Mechanism, kinetics and controlling factors. *Chemosphere* 189 (2017) 717-729.
- (6). **Bentuo Xu**, Minghong Wu, Chenyuan Pan, Yan Sun, Debao Yuan, Liang Tang, Gang Xu. Aquatic photolysis of hydroxylated polybromodiphenylethers under direct UV irradiation: a case study of 2'-HO-BDE-68. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 24 (2017) 14409-14416.

(7). **Bentuo Xu**, Gang Xu, Minghong Wu. Distribution and toxic effects of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in organism. *Journal of Shanghai University (Natural Science Edition)* 23 (2017) 235-243.

Participation work:

(1). Minghong Wu, **Bentuo Xu**, Gang Xu*, Mingnan Wang, Jing Ma, Chenyuan Pan, Rui Sun, Tao Han, Liang Tang. Occurrence and profiles of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in riverine sediments of Shanghai: a combinative study with human serum from the locals. *Environmental Geochemical Health*. 30 (2017) 729-738.

(2). Jianguo Sheng, Wenhui Qiu, **Bentuo Xu**, Hui Xu, Chong Tang. Monitoring of heavy metal levels in the major rivers and in residents' blood in Zhenjiang City, China, and assessment of heavy metal elimination via urine and sweat in humans. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 11 (2016) 11034-11045.

(3). Chenyuan Pan, Ming Yang, Hai Xu, **Bentuo Xu**, Lihui Jiang, Minghong Wu, Tissue bioconcentration and effects of fluoxetine in zebrafish (*Daniorerio*) and red crucian cap (*Carassius auratus*) after short-term and long-term exposure. *Chemosphere*. 205 (2018) 8-14.

(4). Minghong Wu, Chenyuan Pan, Ming Yang, **Bentuo Xu**, Xiangjie Lei, Jing Ma, Ling Cai, Jingsi Chen. Chemical analysis of fish bile extracts for monitoring endocrine disrupting chemical exposure in water: Bisphenol A, alkylphenols, and norethindrone. *Environmental toxicology and chemistry*. 35 (2016) 182-190.

(5). Gang Xu, Sihan Ma, Liang Tang, Rui Sun, Jiajia Xiang, **Bentuo Xu**, Yangyang Bao, Minghong Wu. Occurrence, fate, and risk assessment of selected endocrine disrupting chemicals in wastewater treatment plants and receiving river of Shanghai, China Chemosphere. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 23 (2017) 25442-25450.

- (6). Minghong Wu, Tao Han, Gang Xu, Chao Zang, Yijie Li, Rui Sun, **Bentuo Xu**, Yan Sun, Fenfen Chen, Liang Tang. Occurrence of Hexabromocyclododecane in soil and road dust from mixed-land-use areas of Shanghai, China, and its implications for human exposure. *Science of the Total Environment*. 559 (2016) 282-290.
- (7). Minghong Wu, Jingcheng Pei, Ming Zheng, Liang Tang, Yangyang Bao, **Bentuo Xu**, Rui Sun, Yanfeng Sun, Gang Xu, Jianqiu Lei. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in soil and outdoor dust from a multi-functional area of Shanghai: Levels, compositional profiles and interrelationships. *Chemosphere*. 118 (2015) 87-95.
- (8). Minghong Wu, Wenyan Shi, Peng Yuan, Xinhao Sheng, **Bentuo Xu**, Xiangxin He, Gang Xu, Jing Ma, Xiaoyong Deng, Ming Yang. Method of removing estrogen biological toxicity in water and device of electron beam radiation disposing water. *Inventive patent*. 2014100015401. (2016, authorized)

Publications made during the PhD candidature including articles not entirely related to the Thesis. Articles with asterisk marked were related to the thesis.

Awards

1. 2017 HDR Students Publication Award from Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology (FEIT), University of Technology Sydney (UTS) for publishing in high quality journals.

PhD Dissertation Abstract

Author: Bentuo Xu

Date: 13th April 2020

Thesis title: Photocatalysis of aqueous perfluorooctanoic acid by TiO_2 and Ga_2O_3 assisted with peroxymonosulfate under UV and visible light

Faculty: Faculty of Environmental and Information Technology

School: Civil and Environmental Engineering

Supervisors: Prof. Dr. John Zhou (Principal supervisor)
Dr. Ali Altaee (Co-supervisor)

Abstract

Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) has attracted considerable attention worldwide due to its widespread occurrence and environmental impacts. However, a suitable technology for PFOA controlling is worthwhile to be investigated nowadays. This thesis studied the photocatalysis by different catalysts and found that Ga_2O_3 and TiO_2 had better performance for PFOA removal than CeO_2 , In_2O_3 and CdS . In addition, Ga_2O_3 mixed with peroxymonosulfate (PMS) was investigated for the PFOA degradation under UV light. It showed excellent performance and that 100% of PFOA was degraded within 90 min and 60 min under 254 nm and 185 nm UV irradiation, respectively. PFOA in real wastewater exhibited similar degradation efficiency and 75-85% TOC was removed by Ga_2O_3 /PMS under 254 nm UV irradiation. Thus, a good method with well degradation efficacy was established in this thesis for aqueous PFAS removal. Moreover, this thesis investigated the PFOA photodegradation by using powerful visible light (300 W, 829.6 mW cm^{-2}) in the presence of catalyst TiO_2 with PMS activation, which achieved 100% PFOA removal within 8 h. The presence of organic compounds in real wastewater

reduced the degradation efficacy of PFOA by 18-35% in Vis/TiO₂/PMS system. Therefore, PFOA could be controlled under no matter UV light or visible light by TiO₂/PMS system.

Gallium oxide (Ga₂O₃), titanium dioxide (TiO₂), cerium dioxide (CeO₂), indium oxide (In₂O₃), and cadmium sulfide (CdS) are commonly used under UV light as photocatalyst for the pollutants degradation. In this study, these five catalysts were applied for the photodegradation of PFOA and the performance decreases as: Ga₂O₃ > TiO₂ > CeO₂ > In₂O₃ > CdS. Notably, CdS had almost no capability for PFOA removal. The initial pH, quantum yield and band gap energy were used to explain the various catalytic ability among these catalysts. Significantly, the band gap energy decreases as: Ga₂O₃ > TiO₂ > CeO₂ > In₂O₃ > CdS, which exactly matched their degradation performance. Thus, band gap energy was significantly related to the photocatalytic ability for PFOA removal. Further, according to the scavenger experiments, photogenerated holes rather than electrons played the main roles in degrading PFOA by TiO₂, CeO₂ and In₂O₃. In comparison, photogenerated conduction band electrons were more important when photocatalysis was carried out with Ga₂O₃.

This research focused on the photocatalytic process for the treatment of PFOA in water by Ga₂O₃ and peroxymonosulfate (PMS) mixed directly in the PFOA solution under different light sources. The results showed excellent performance that 100% of PFOA was degraded within 90 min and 60 min under 254 nm and 185 nm UV irradiation, respectively. Moreover, the degradation efficacy was unaffected by initial PFOA concentration from 50 ng L⁻¹ to 50 mg L⁻¹. Acidic solution (pH 3) improved the degradation process as high amount of PFOA was adsorbed on the surface of Ga₂O₃ via Coulombic attraction, leading to the promoted photocatalytic efficacy. The quantum yield in the PMS/Ga₂O₃ system under UV light (254 nm) was estimated to be 0.009 mol

Einstein⁻¹. Scavengers such as *tert*-butanol (*t*-BuOH), disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA-Na₂) and benzoquinone (BQ) were added into PFOA solution to assess the roles of sulfate radicals ($\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$), superoxide radical ($\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$) and photogenerated electrons (e^-) as the active species with strong redox potentials for PFOA degradation in PMS/Ga₂O₃/UV system. Through the analysis of the intermediates, PFOA was degraded stepwise from long chain compound to shorter chain intermediates. In addition, PFOA in the real wastewater exhibited similar degradation efficiency and 75-85% TOC was removed by Ga₂O₃/PMS under 254 nm UV irradiation. Therefore, Ga₂O₃/PMS system was highly effective for PFOA photodegradation under UV irradiation, which has potential to be applied for the perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) treatment in water and wastewater.

This research also studied the PFOA photodegradation by using powerful visible light (300 W, 829.6 mW cm⁻²) in the presence of catalyst TiO₂ with PMS activation. The addition of PMS induced a significant degradation of PFOA on TiO₂ under visible light compared with sole TiO₂ or PMS treatment. Under powerful visible light, 0.25 g L⁻¹ TiO₂ and 0.75 g L⁻¹ PMS in the solution at initial pH 3 was advantageous for the PFOA degradation, and achieved 100% PFOA removal within 8 h. Under UV light irradiation at 254 and 185 nm wavelength, TiO₂/PMS resulted in an excellent performance of almost 100% PFOA removal within 1.5 h, attributed to the high absorbance ability of UV light by the catalyst. The intermediates analysis showed that PFOA was degraded from long carbon chains to shorter chains in a stepwise manner. Furthermore, scavenger experiments indicate that $\text{SO}_4^{\bullet-}$ radicals from PMS and photogenerated holes from TiO₂ played an essential role in degrading PFOA. The presence of organic compounds in real wastewater reduced the degradation efficacy of PFOA by 18-35% in visible-TiO₂-PMS

system. In general, TiO₂-PMS could be an ideal and effective catalyst for the degradation of PFOA from wastewater using either visible or UV light source.

Keywords: Chemical bonds; Gallium oxide; Peroxymonosulfate; Photocatalysis; Perfluorooctanoic acid; Photogenerated electrons; Sulfate radicals; Visible light